IRELL & MANELLA LLP Andra Barmash Greene (123931) agreene@irell.com A. Matthew Ashley (198235) mashley@irell.com 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660 Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200

Attorneys for Defendants

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CASE NO. 3:15-cv-01257-JST

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT **SCHEDULE** [If the Court Deems Necessary]

Date: August 5, 2015 Time: 10:00 a.m.

Crtrm.: 9, 19th Floor (Telephone Conference)

Rasier-CA, LLC,

27

28

Defendants.

3:15-cv-01257-JST

Pursuant to the Court's directive at the July 22, 2015 Case Management Conference, Plaintiffs<sup>1</sup> and Uber<sup>2</sup> have met and conferred in an effort to create a case management schedule. This proposed case management schedule is without prejudice to the parties' rights to seek to modify the schedule.

Event	Proposed Date
Initial Disclosures	Parties have already exchanged
Plaintiffs to File First	August 3, 2015
Amended Complaint	
Pursuant to Court's Ruling	
on Motion to Dismiss (ECF	
No. 44)	
Defendants to Respond to	August 24, 2015
First Amended Complaint	
Amendment of the	October 16, 2015, with both Plaintiffs and Uber reserving
Pleadings Deadline	the right to seek leave to amend as investigation and
	discovery develops
Further Case Management	December 9, 2015
Conference	
Discovery Cut-off for Non-	August 10, 2016
Expert Discovery	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Plaintiffs" signifies all taxi cab companies named as Plaintiffs in the Complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Uber" or "Defendants" signifies all three defendants: Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC.

Designation and Opening	August 12, 2016
Reports of Experts	
Rebuttal Expert	September 23, 2016
Designation and Reports	
Discovery Cut-off for	October 14, 2016
Expert Discovery	
Mediation Deadline	October 14, 2016
Dispositive Motions Filing	October 21, 2016
Oppositions to Dispositive	November 11, 2016
Motions	
Replies in Support of	November 23, 2016
Dispositive Motions	
Hearing of Dispositive	December 8, 2016
Motions	
Pre-trial Conference	December 16, 2016
Trial	January 17, 2017

Plaintiffs and Uber offer this proposed schedule based upon information available to them pursuant to the investigation that they have been able to conduct to date. While Plaintiffs and Uber have investigated sources of information immediately available to them, Plaintiffs and Uber have not yet had sufficient opportunity to interview all persons who have, or may have, knowledge of the facts relevant to this lawsuit or review all documents which refer or relate to such facts. As discovery in this lawsuit continues, additional information, persons, and documents may become known to Plaintiffs and their counsel. The knowledge of this additional information, these persons, and these documents may require a change in the schedule.

The parties have also discussed various discovery issues, and have agreed to the following: Interrogatories:

At this early stage in the case, the parties have agreed that Rule 33(a) of the Federal Rules

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of Civil Procedure be modified to allow Plaintiffs to collectively serve 35 interrogatories on Defendants, and Defendants to collectively serve 35 interrogatories on Plaintiffs. Any interrogatory will count as a single interrogatory, regardless of the number of parties to whom the interrogatory is directed and regardless of the number of parties serving the interrogatory. Moreover, any interrogatory will be deemed a single interrogatory even where the response to that interrogatory differs for each propounding party, or where the interrogatory contains reasonable subparts, the responses to which may only be of interest to certain of the propounding parties. The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith in the future about an alternative arrangement if this approach does not prove workable. The parties reserve all rights to seek judicial intervention if necessary.

## Depositions:

At this early stage in the case, the parties have agreed that depositions of named parties under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) do not count towards each side's 10-depositionper-side limit under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 30. The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith in the future about an alternative arrangement if this approach does not prove workable. The parties reserve all rights to seek judicial intervention if necessary.

1	DATED: July 31, 2015
2	/s/ Benjamin E. Shiftan BRUCE L. SIMON (Bar No. 96241)
3	bsimon@pswlaw.com BENJAMIN E. SHIFTAN (Bar No. 265767)
4	bshiftan@pswlaw.com
5	PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450
6	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008
7	CHRISTOPHER B. DOLAN (Bar No. 165358)
8	chris@cbdlaw.com
9	THE DOLAN LAW FIRM 1438 Market Street
	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 421-2800
10	Facsimile: (415) 421-2830
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12	/s/ A. Matthew Ashlev
13	A. MATTHEW ASHLEY (Bar No. 198235) mashley@irell.com
14	ANDRA BARMASH GREENE (Bar No. 123931)
15	agreene@irell.com IRELL & MANELLA, LLP
16	840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660
17	Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200
18	Attorneys for Defendants
19	
20	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Civil Local Rule $5-1(i)(3)$ regarding signatures, Benjamin E. Shiftan hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained by all
21	signatories.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	_ 4 _ 3:15-cv-01257-JST

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE